
Korespondensi Penulis Utama (Dr. Lyna M. N.Hutapea) dengan Penerbit Jurnal "Collegian" terindeks Scopus Q1.



In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/COLL/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.





Lyna M. N. Hutapea

Re: Send Back to Author: Request to Edit Submission [200427-000198]

8 messages

Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> Reply-To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> To: lynhutapea@unai.edu Mon, Apr 27, 2020 at 5:02 PM

How was our service today? 👍 📮

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

Thank you for your email.

Yes, include the reporting guideline checklist to the next page of the cover letter. Hope this calrifies.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Kind regards,

Niranjana

Niranjana Sathyamoorthy Journal Manager

Tel: +91 44 42994844 office

Team Manager: (l.ashwin@elsevier.com), +91 44 4299 4894

ELSEVIER | Operations | Research Content Operations

Find out some simple ways to share your research data, including features that are directly available when you submit your research article to an Elsevier journal.

For assistance, please visit our Customer Support site where you can search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.

From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea Date: 27/04/2020 12.31 AM

Dear Collegian Editor,

I am sorry for the inconvenience caused to you by my incomplete submission. I have tried to provide all of the information required in the online submission process. However, apparently I have missed one important point. Please help me with this question:

My study is a qualitative study. By this sentence, "Please include a complete reporting guideline checklist relevant to your research design, as an addendum to your cover letter" do you mean the "consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist" to my cover letter? If yes, do I put it as the next page(s) of the cover letter or do I send it separately? Please advise. Thank you very much for your kind assistance.

Yours sincerely,

Lyna M. N. Hutapea

On Sat, Apr 25, 2020 at 12:10 AM Collegian <em@editorialmanager.com> wrote:

Article Title: Perceptions of Nursing Educators and Alumni of an Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination Corresponding Author: Dr. Lyna M. N. Hutapea

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

Your submission entitled "Perceptions of Nursing Educators and Alumni of an Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination" has been received by Collegian. However, before we can proceed with the review process we ask you to address the following, as per our author guidelines: http://www.collegianjournal.com/content/authorinfo

Reporting guidelines checklist:

Please include a complete reporting guideline checklist relevant to your research design, as an addendum to your cover letter.

Please log onto Elsevier Editorial System as an Author:

https://www.editorialmanager.com/coll/.

- 1. Go to the menu item "Submissions/Revisions Sent Back to Author".
- 2. Click "Edit Submission/Revision".
- 3. Click on the relevant submission step on the left-hand menu.
- 4. Provide or modify the item/information as requested.
- 5. Go to "Attach Files" and "Build PDF for my Approval".
- 6. View and Approve your new PDF file including the changed item(s), or if needed, Edit again.

Thank you for submitting your work to the journal, and if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Yours sincerely,

Collegian

Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/9435/. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.



In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/coll/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

This email is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your inbox. Any unauthorized use or distribution of this email, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any price quotes contained in this email are merely indicative and will not result in any legally binding or enforceable obligation. Unless explicitly designated as an intended e-contract, this email does not constitute a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer.

Elsevier Limited, Registered Office: The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford, OX5 1GB, United Kingdom, Registration No. 1982084, Registered in England and Wales, Privacy Policy

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com>

Dear Niranjana,

I have submitted all the required documents and have approved it. Thank you for your response and assistance. All the best to you.

Best regards,

Lyna

[Quoted text hidden]

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Wed, Apr 29, 2020 at 10:03 AM

Wed, Apr 29, 2020 at 9:19 AM

To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com>

Dear Niranjana,

I just found that the word count in my manuscript is a little over 5000 words (5164 words). Is this acceptable? I forgot to recount the words after doing a little editing before submitting it again just a while ago and have approved the submission. Do I have to reduce the number of words now and resubmit it again? Please advise. Thank you.

Best regards,

Lyna

On Mon, Apr 27, 2020 at 5:02 PM Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]



Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> Reply-To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> To: lynhutapea@unai.edu

How was our service today?

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

Thank you for your email.

For consistency purpose, I request you to follow the instruction and make the changes accordingly.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Kind regards,

Niranjana

Niranjana Sathyamoorthy Journal Manager Tel: +91 44 42994844 office


Team Manager: (l.ashwin@elsevier.com), +91 44 4299 4894

ELSEVIER | Operations | Research Content Operations

Find out some simple ways to share your research data, including features that are directly available when you submit your research article to an Elsevier journal.

For assistance, please visit our Customer Support site where you can search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.

rntmarker_55608



From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea Date: 29/04/2020 02.19 AM

Dear Niraniana.

I have submitted all the required documents and have approved it. Thank you for your response and assistance. All the best to you.

Best regards,

Lyna

Lyna

From: Niranjana Sathyamoorthy Date: 27/04/2020 10.02 AM

[Quoted text Nidden] [Quoted text Nidden]

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu> To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com>

Thu, Apr 30, 2020 at 3:06 PM

Dear Niranjana,

I wanted to submit the revised "manuscript without author details" by reducing the number of words that had exceeded the limit, but the submission is still closed and I do not know how to submit it. How do I go about submitting it? I would like to submit it online. Please advise.

Just in case, I am sending the file plus the file on the cover letter with the addendum. Thank you very much for your kind consideration and assistance.

Best regards,

Lyna

[Quoted text hidden]



How was our service today? 👍 👎

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

To: lynhutapea@unai.edu

Thank you for your email.

On checking the status, I can see that the article is submitted to journal and you will not be able to make any further changes.

Incase of any changes, I can send back the submission so that you can make the changes and resubmit.

Please let me know if I can be of any assistance.

Kind regards,

Niranjana Sathyamoorthy Journal Manager

Tel: +91 44 42994844 office

Team Manager: (l.ashwin@elsevier.com), +91 44 4299 4894

ELSEVIER | Operations | Research Content Operations

Find out some simple ways to share your research data, including features that are directly available when you submit your research article to an Elsevier journal.

For assistance, please visit our Customer Support site where you can search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.



From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea Date: 30/04/2020 08.06 AM

Dear Niranjana,

I wanted to submit the revised "manuscript without author details" by reducing the number of words that had exceeded the limit, but the submission is still closed and I do not know how to submit it. How do I go about submitting it? I would like to submit it online. Please advise.

Just in case, I am sending the file plus the file on the cover letter with the addendum. Thank you very much for your kind consideration and assistance.

Best regards,

Lyna

From: Niranjana Sathyamoorthy Date: 29/04/2020 03.36 PM

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu> To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> Fri, May 1, 2020 at 7:59 AM

Yes, please send back the submission so I can resubmit the manuscript and cover letter that already have some changes. Thank you very much for your kind support.

Best regards,

Dear Niranjana,

Lyna

[Quoted text hidden]

Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> Reply-To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> To: lynhutapea@unai.edu Mon, May 4, 2020 at 8:39 PM



How was our service today? 👍 📭

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

The submission has been assigned to the editor and if any changes are required, the submission will be sent back to you.

Kind regards,

Niranjana

Niranjana Sathyamoorthy Journal Manager

Tel: +91 44 42994844 office

Team Manager: (l.ashwin@elsevier.com), +91 44 4299 4894

ELSEVIER | Operations | Research Content Operations

Find out some simple ways to share your research data, including features that are directly available when you submit your research article to an Elsevier journal.

For assistance, please visit our Customer Support site where you can search for solutions on a range of topics and find answers to frequently asked questions.

From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea Date: 01/05/2020 01.00 AM

Dear Niranjana,

Yes, please send back the submission so I can resubmit the manuscript and cover letter that already have some changes. Thank you very much for your kind support.

Best regards,

Lyna

From: Niranjana Sathyamoorthy Date: 30/04/2020 02.54 PM

[Quoted text Nidden] [Quoted text Nidden]



Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lp>lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Decision on submission to Collegian

7 messages

Jason Mills <em@editorialmanager.com>
Reply-To: Jason Mills <|22.mills@qut.edu.au>
To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Tue, Jun 2, 2020 at 9:13 AM

Manuscript Number: COLL-D-20-00095

Perceptions of Nursing Educators and Alumni of an Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination

Dear Dr. Hutapea

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Collegian.

I have completed my evaluation of your manuscript, The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your manuscript following revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing the comments below. Please resubmit your revised manuscript by Jul 31, 2020.

When revising your manuscript, please consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments carefully: please outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. Please note that your revised submission may need to be re-reviewed.

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at https://www.editorialmanager.com/coll/, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Collegian values your contribution and I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Kind regards, Jason Mills


Editor Collegian

Peer Review comments:

Reviewer #1: Summary: This is a very timely article. There are few articles regarding preparation and perceptions of the Indonesian National Nursing Competency Exam. The authors provide a brief review and history of the exam and describe eight themes that they and other nurse educators could use to develop a preparation program for Indonesia, there is a critical need for this information and the development of effective preparation programs.

There are a few areas that need to be revised to enhance the article:

- * When giving the abbreviation in parentheses, the word "hereafter" is not needed, i.e. "...success in US nursing license examination (NCLEX) include.....". Please remove throughout the document.
- References: Please review the list and make sure follows APA format. Please add the doi. There are two references that are very old, please see if more updated references.
- * In the abstract, the aim is "To describe components and implementation of an effective preparation...". However, there is no description of implementation in the article. It is also different than the study aim that is stated at the end of the introduction. Please clarify.
- There is no discussion section in the abstract. The concluding statement is not clear about whether an effective preparation program was actually carried out by the educators or not. Please clarify.
- * Describe briefly how reflexivity and self-awareness (data collection section), triangulation (data analysis), and trustworthiness (limitation section) were maintained, rather than just mentioning the concepts.
- The paragraph regarding manifest analysis and coding of the data is unclear.
- * Under findings, the course coordinators and clinical instructors have working experience that is less than 10 years. However, the inclusion criteria is that they worked for a minimum of 10 years for the faculty of nursing. Please clarify.
- * Figure 1 is a nice visual of the themes. It could be clearer if it is specified that the integrated program occurs during both the academic and the internship program and the intensive program is after completion of the internship program.



- * There is no discussion section in the abstract. The concluding statement is not clear about whether an effective preparation program was actually carried out by the educators or not. Please clarify.
- * Describe briefly how reflexivity and self-awareness (data collection section), triangulation (data analysis), and trustworthiness (limitation section) were maintained, rather than just mentioning the concepts.
- The paragraph regarding manifest analysis and coding of the data is unclear.
- * Under findings, the course coordinators and clinical instructors have working experience that is less than 10 years, However, the inclusion criteria is that they worked for a minimum of 10 years for the faculty of nursing. Please clarify.
- * Figure 1 is a nice visual of the themes. It could be clearer if it is specified that the integrated program occurs during both the academic and the internship program and the intensive program is after completion of the intensive program.
- Please use either the English name of Association of Indonesian Nurses Education Center (AINEC) or write out the Indonesian name of AIPNI.
- In section 3.1.2, it is unclear what is the exit examination. Please describe.
- * In section 3.2.2, the readers will not know what are the "nine clinical subject divisions". Reword the sentence to emphasize the need for a preceptor that has the clinical skill for a specific clinical subject.
- In the discussion section, it is unclear what is meant by "high weight".
- * The conclusion and recommendation section is difficult to understand. Rewrite without using a long run-on sentence. Please be more precise in the recommendation for nursing and for future research. How will you use this information in your own institution?

Thank you again for this timely article. Am looking forward to the revisions and this article being in print.

Reviewer #2: Thank you for submitting your study and thank you for giving an opportunity to review your manuscript. Your focus, "Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination" is important issue in improving the quality of nursing workforce.

However, this manuscript still need modification, so I hope you will consider comments bellow which I mentioned.

Introduction

The background of this study was unclear. Was there any problem on NNCE? Any data supporting your study? Why there is a need of effective preparation program? Any data of pass and fail rate? Ahead of this, I wonder did you notice any urgency to scrutiny this phenomenon? If it so, I think you should mention its reason in this section. And, why did you focused on the preparation rather than any stages on the NNCE?

I recommend you to give readers clear explanation on how many nursing institution based on the ownership and how many pass rate from the type of ownership over Indonesia.

Page 2 Line 42-47: I think you should maintain your objectivity as a researcher, before talking specific on the absence of preparation program policy you should address that the Indonesia government do has policy on NNCE.

Page 2 Line 51: Give us the data please!

Methods

Page 3 line 13: On this section you don't mention any design and setting of your study. It is unclear when you are talking about in-dept interview followed by thematic content analysis. It seems the authors need to clarify which one is design and which one is belong to data analysis.

Page 4 line 1-20: How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball. How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email. Why researcher only selected participants from private university?

Page 4 line 22-29: after approached 37 candidates, how many participants were in the study? How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?

Page 6 line 2: You mentioned about the tracking system, did you use a software?

It was important that respondents provided feedback on the analyzed data. What was the question posed to respondents about the analyzed data and how did their answers influence the analysis?

Findings

I would expect to see the perception of each participants in details on how improving the preparation program on NNCE, was there any concrete opinion of participant? For example "An effective preparation program is a program that is integrated early into the academic and practicum programs, including the selection process during the admission." (CC6), but how to do it? How do they define early integration?

Page 6 line 54-58 and next page: the description is not consistent with Table 2.

Page 7 line 22: The purpose found institution or management level as one of the thomas but I am wondering why they did not intensive the suppose for this?

Page 7 line 22: The authors have found institution level as one of the themes, but I am wondering why they did not interview the representative of institution or management level, any reason for this?

On the discussion, I think the authors should fairly mention that AIPNI and each institution also encourage early preparation programs for example, try out as one of the initial programs. I don't see any objective data on the current discussion regarding what is going on in the preparation phase.



More information and support

FAQ: How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28463/supporthub/publishing/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: https://www.elsevier.com/authors.

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/kw/editorial+manager/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/coll/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

To: Jason Mills <i22.mills@gut.edu.au>

Dear Dr. Jason,

Thank you for your email. I shall be working on the revision and resubmit the revised manuscript as soon as possible. What is your opinion of submitting two related (sequential) papers to one journal? For example, this paper is on the components of an effective preparation program for the nursing licensure in Indonesia, and the second one is on the development and evaluation of the effectiveness of the model? Thank you.

Best regards,

Lyna

[Quoted text hidden]

Jason Mills <j22.mills@qut.edu.au> To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <lynhutapea@unai.edu> Fri, Jun 5, 2020 at 1:43 PM

Fri, Jun 5, 2020 at 9:39 AM

Dear Lyna,

thanks for your email. Of course, Collegian would welcome a second submission if you feel it fits with the aims and scope of the journal; however, I cannot speak to its suitability or potential for external review/acceptance.

It is difficult to provide an answer to your question, because it really depends. Because I am not familiar with your studies, the only suggestion I can offer is to consult with your colleagues (coauthors) and take advice from them on this issue.

Best wishes,

Jason



From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea lyname.cdu

Sent: Friday, 5 June 2020 12:39 PM To: Jason Mills <j22.mills@gut.edu.au>

Subject: Re: Decision on submission to Collegian

[Quoted text hidden]

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu> To: Jason Mills <j22.mills@qut.edu.au>

Sat, Jun 6, 2020 at 2:32 PM

Dear Jason,

My only concern if submitting our second paper to Collegian is its number of words which is a liitle over 6000 word exceeding the 5000 words limit that you have. Are you very strict about this limit?

Best regards,

Lyna.

[Quoted text hidden]

Jason Mills <j22.mills@qut.edu.au>

Sun. Jun 7, 2020 at 2:54 AM

To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Yes, I'm afraid the author submission requirements are quite clear in stating that maximum of 5,000 words - and this is somewhat generous, as many journals allow only 3,000 words.

In any case, it would seem clear that you are best advised to submit to a different journal that can accommodate the length of your manuscript.

Best wishes, Jason

From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea

Sent: Saturday, 6 June 2020 5:32 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]



Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu> To: Jason Mills <|22.mills@gut.edu.au> Sun, Jul 5, 2020 at 2:35 PM

Dear Dr. Jason,

I am ready to resubmit my revised manuscript. Do I need an additional mail to show the revisions that I have made? There is one comment that I have difficulty to understand, a comment made by reviewer #2: "I don't see any objective data on the current discussion regarding what is going on in the preparation phase." Is the preparation phase referred to as the preparation of the study or the preparation phase of the preparation phase advise. Thank you.

Best regards,

Lyna

On Tue, Jun 2, 2020 at 9:13 AM Jason Mills <em@editorialmanager.com> wrote:

Jason Mills <j22.mills@qut.edu.au>
To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Tue, Jul 7, 2020 at 5:49 AM

Dear Lyna.

I'm glad to hear you are nearly ready to resubmit. You don't need to send any further email - but yes, the journal requires that you submit, along with your revised manuscript, a separate 'Detailed Response to Reviewers'.

You can find out more information about this via the following link (under the heading: Detailed Response to Reviewers):

https://www.collegianjournal.com/content/authorinfo

Information for Authors: Collegian

Aims and scope Collegian: The Australian Journal of Nursing Practice, Scholarship and Research is a refereed journal with an Editorial Board that meets high standards of academic and clinical expertise. The journal reflects the broad interests of nurses and the nursing profession, Papers are invited on contemporary clinical, professional and policy issues of interest to nurses such as:

www.collegianjournal.com

Regarding the comment you have queried from reviewer 2, I suspect that this sentence is in relation to the sentence immediately prior to it - "On the discussion, I think the authors should fairly mention that AIPNI and each institution also encourage early preparation programs for example, try out as one of the initial programs." It sounds to me that if you address this comment, then it should also address the following sentence which you have asked about it.

I hope this helps to clarify.

With best wishes, Jason





Lyna M. N. Hutapea

Wed. Dec 16, 2020 at 6:32 AM

Your Submission COLL-D-20-00095R1

4 messages

Jason Mills <em@editorialmanager.com> Reply-To: Jason Mills <jmills2@usc.edu.au> To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Ref.: Ms. No. COLL-D-20-00095R1

Perceptions of Nursing Educators and Alumni of an Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination Collegian

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Collegian. I have received comments from reviewers on your manuscript. Based on their feedback, we would be happy to consider this submission further, pending suitable revision and modification of the article in light of the appended reviewer comments.

When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed.

To submit your revised manuscript go to https://www.editorialmanager.com/coll/ and log in as an Author where you will see a menu item called 'Submission Needing Revision'.

Please resubmit your manuscript by Feb 13, 2021.

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Yours sincerely

Jason Mills Editor

Collegian

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers:

Reviewer #1: The authors of "Perceptions of Nursing Educators and Alumni of an Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination" worked hard to update the article, based on the comments of the reviewers. It is interesting that they uploaded two versions of the article, one highlighted yellow for reviewer 1 and one highlighted blue for reviewer 2. It would have been helpful to review all of the changes in one color in one document. The updated article does incorporate the suggestions of both reviewers, though there are still a few English grammar issues, including inconsistent capitalization following APA style in the reference list. Figure 1 is improved and easier to understand. Their detailed response in their letter for each of the comments was informative and they addressed each area of concern. The rewritten conclusion and recommendation section is easier to understand and includes how the authors intend to use the information in

Reviewer #2: Thank you very much for giving me a second chance to review this manuscript, I have seen a lot of improvement in this manuscript. However, I think authors have failed to give necessary details for readers to fully understand the study.

Introduction

their own institution.

Introduction is not convincing by giving superficial data regarding the passing rate, the authors only cited the passed rate of national data in 2016 and the last batch pass rate on the study site was only 37% (Was it in 2018? Or during 2013-2018?). The data comparison is unequal and insufficient to convince the readers.

Discussion

This part lacks of researcher opinion regarding the facts and the references of each theme, e.g. paragraph 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6.



Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Tue. Jan 12, 2021 at 8:55 AM

Confirming submission to Collegian

1 message

Collegian <em@editorialmanager.com> Reply-To: Collegian <colegn@elsevier.com> To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

"This is an automated message."

Manuscript Number: COLL-D-20-00095R2

Perceptions of Nursing Educators and Alumni of an Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

We have received the above referenced manuscript you submitted to Collegian.

To track the status of your manuscript, please log in as an author at https://www.editorialmanager.com/coll/, and navigate to the "Revisions Being Processed" folder.

Thank you for submitting your revision to this journal.

Kind regards, Collegian

More information and support

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: https://www.elsevier.com/authors

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email



Have questions or need assistance?

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: http://help.elsevier.com/app/answers/list/p/9435/. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/coll/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

To: Jason Mills <mills2@usc.edu.au>

Dear Jason,

I already submitted the revised manuscript through the system last Jan 13. Do you have an estimate about how long the review process will take till a decision is made? I look forward to hearing from you. I need that decision at the earliest possible time because I have a deadline to meet for my graduation. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best regards.

Lyna

[Quoted text hidden]

Jason Mills <jmills2@usc.edu.au>
To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <jynhutapea@unai.edu>

Sun, Jan 31, 2021 at 3:46 AM

Tue, Jan 26, 2021 at 5:27 PM

Dear Lyna.

thanks for your email and for submitting your revised paper. I can advise that we are awaiting one reviewer report which is due within the next week.

Regarding your stated need for a decision to meet a deadline for graduation, please note that whilst we always aim for the most timely reviews possible, the journal cannot offer any guarantees in relation to a specific timeframe, as journals rely on external reviewers whose timeframes and commitments often vary.

Rest assured, an editorial decision will certainly be made as soon as possible, according to due process - once peer review has been completed.

Kind regards, Jason

Jason Mills RN PhD Editor, Collegian

From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea "> hutapea@unai.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, 26 January 2021 8:27 PM To: Jason Mills <mills2@usc.edu.au>

Subject: Re: Your Submission COLL-D-20-00095R1



I already submitted the revised manuscript through the system last Jan 13. Do you have an estimate about how long the review process will take till a decision is made? I look forward to hearing from you. I need that decision at the earliest possible time because I have a deadline to meet for my graduation. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best regards.

Lyna

[Quoted text hidden]

Jason Mills <jmills2@usc.edu.au>

To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea"

Sun, Jan 31, 2021 at 3:46 AM

Dear Lyna,

thanks for your email and for submitting your revised paper. I can advise that we are awaiting one reviewer report which is due within the next week.

Regarding your stated need for a decision to meet a deadline for graduation, please note that whilst we always aim for the most timely reviews possible, the journal cannot offer any guarantees in relation to a specific timeframe, as journals rely on external reviewers whose timeframes and commitments often vary.

Rest assured, an editorial decision will certainly be made as soon as possible, according to due process - once peer review has been completed.

Kind regards, Jason

Jason Mills RN PhD Editor, Collegian

From: Lyna M. N. Hutapea

Sent: Tuesday, 26 January 2021 8:27 PM To: Jason Mills <imills2@usc.edu.au>

Subject: Re: Your Submission COLL-D-20-00095R1

[Quoted text hidden]

Lyna M. N. Hutapea <lynhutapea@unai.edu> To: Jason Mills <jmills2@usc.edu.au>

Sun, Jan 31, 2021 at 5:45 AM


Dear Jason.

Thank you for your response. I fully understand. I shall hope for the best.

Best regards,

Lyna

[Quoted test hidden]





Lyna M. N. Hutapea < lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Tue, Feb 2, 2021 at 7:43 AM

Decision on submission to Collegian

5 messages

Jason Mills <em@editorialmanager.com>
Reply-To: Jason Mills <jmills2@usc.edu.au>
To: "Lyna M. N. Hutapea" <lynhutapea@unai.edu>

Manuscript Number: COLL-D-20-00095R2

Perceptions of Nursing Educators and Alumni of an Effective Preparation Program for the Indonesian National Nursing Licensure Examination

Dear Dr. Hutapea,

Thank you for submitting your revised manuscript to Collegian.

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has been accepted for publication.

Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department. We will create a proof which you will be asked to check, and you will also be asked to complete a number of online forms required for publication. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact you directly.

We appreciate you submitting your manuscript to Collegian and hope you will consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards, Jason Mills Editor

Collegian

More information and support

FAQ: When and how will I receive the proofs of my article?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a id/6007/p/10592/supporthub/publishing/related/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: https://www.elsevier.com/authors-

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site; https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email